

**Responder no.:****REDACTED COMMENTS*****Disclaimer:***

*There are more than 65 feedback responders, with some sending in multiple documents and responses. Many reaching more than 3 pages and including a lot of technical information.*

*This document has been constructed by a volunteer and consists of (as far as possible) data which has been redacted to protect the privacy of those submitting feedback.*

*Some editorial amendments or deletions have been made and in some case this includes whole sections of submitted documents including sections of prefaces, context and/or background information, (particularly provided by consultants) has been removed. This has been done purely in an attempt to make the document more readable, but no actual feedback on the NDP has been removed.*

*Please note that spellings and/or typos and irregular spacings are likely to be a result of the compiling (copy and paste or typing).*

*If you would like to check your own feedback or have any queries with regards to this document, please email [clerk@kingsomborne-pc.gov.uk](mailto:clerk@kingsomborne-pc.gov.uk).*

***FEEDBACK STARTS BELOW:***

With reference to the pre-submission consultation document for the King's Somborne Neighbourhood Development Plan dated June 2018, as XX I would like to add some comments. I consider the general concepts within the plan to be worthwhile and fully support the idea of Neighbourhood Planning, allowing an opportunity for local people to have a voice. It is a positive approach to the necessary housing needs, both within the village and generally, to help alleviate some of the national housing shortage. I would question, however, how one completely avoids a 'NIMBY' approach, given that some of the opportunities to develop housing may well effect those on the panel making decisions. I hope there is a level of monitoring to prevent this from becoming an issue when and if prioritising sites. I believe the process to develop sites should not be restricted by a predetermined scoring process, but rather be developed according to how available and deliverable they are, in order to be as proactive as possible to achieve the requirements of the Neighbourhood Plan in a timely manner. I also have some concerns given the likely housing needs of King's Somborne in the future and question if the suggested requirements are indeed likely to be adequate over a 15 year-period? There is no doubt that the village will continue to expand, both within its own population and as an attractive and well-situated village, so it will surely continue to be a popular destination with people choosing to move into the area, as has been the case for several decades and I am not convinced this has been actively considered.

In my opinion Policy H1 is too prescriptive and would suggest that there should be a minimum of 42 dwellings which should not be limited to phasing.

With reference to policy H6 there is an opportunity for KS5 and KS7 to be developed together from the Winchester Road frontage and that all sites should be allocated without the restrictions of phasing and also without preference.

All or indeed any of the sites should be deliverable as soon as possible and there should be no phasing, no set period of years and no order of preference to enable to expedite the Neighbourhood Plan in an efficient and timely manner.