

REDACTED COMMENTS

Disclaimer:

There are more than 65 feedback responders, with some sending in multiple documents and responses. Many reaching more than 3 pages and including a lot of technical information.

This document has been constructed by a volunteer and consists of (as far as possible) data which has been redacted to protect the privacy of those submitting feedback.

Some editorial amendments or deletions have been made and in some case this includes whole sections of submitted documents including sections of prefaces, context and/or background information, (particularly provided by consultants) has been removed. This has been done purely in an attempt to make the document more readable, but no actual feedback on the NDP has been removed.

Please note that spellings and/or typos and irregular spacings are likely to be a result of the compiling (copy and paste or typing).

If you would like to check your own feedback or have any queries with regards to this document, please email clerk@kingsomborne-pc.gov.uk.

FEEDBACK STARTS BELOW:

The implications of developing site KS3 are considerable. In addition to the increased flooding risk further downstream (the subject of my earlier objection) the likely infrastructure creates its own problems viz

1. The possibility of a footpath along both sides of the Romsey Road would result in even more run off areas. Furthermore the loss of most front gardens would not be acceptable to most property owners.
2. The provision of a pedestrian crossing opposite Froghole would be raised again. You will recall that HCC refused this a few years ago due to lack of need. With housing on KS3 that refusal would no longer apply. Do the residents of Romsey Road want to hear the pip, pip, pip, pip warning signals all day long? Such a crossing would cause traffic hold ups in the village and increase pollution. There should not be any development on the north-west side of Romsey Road.