

REDACTED COMMENTS

Disclaimer:

There are more than 65 feedback responders, with some sending in multiple documents and responses. Many reaching more than 3 pages and including a lot of technical information.

This document has been constructed by a volunteer and consists of (as far as possible) data which has been redacted to protect the privacy of those submitting feedback.

Some editorial amendments or deletions have been made and in some case this includes whole sections of submitted documents including sections of prefaces, context and/or background information, (particularly provided by consultants) has been removed. This has been done purely in an attempt to make the document more readable, but no actual feedback on the NDP has been removed.

Please note that spellings and/or typos and irregular spacings are likely to be a result of the compiling (copy and paste or typing).

If you would like to check your own feedback or have any queries with regards to this document, please email clerk@kingsomborne-pc.gov.uk.

FEEDBACK STARTS BELOW:

Preserving Landscape Features. Plan objectives 'To ensure Borne remains free of silt/vegetation and debris'

The current responsibility for these actions lies with the Riparian Land Owners (most of ignore their legal responsibility in this respect) together with the Environment Agency. How can the NOP assume/change these existing responsibilities?

Roads - Old Vicarage lane has no footpath and no notice to drivers of this lack. Yet many parent children walking to and from school have to brave the traffic flow. One day!!!!!! Notice to this unacceptable situation has been brought to the attention of TVBC by man residents, but the TVBC officer responsible for traffic signage simply ignores the potential danger to life.

Lack of statements of priorities associated with the various plans/proposals. No clear distinctions between which proposed developments are desirable but not economically realistic and those which are economically realistic but not desirable (or possible both). Shouldn't proposal/plans be graded in some way. What are the criteria for categorising proposals/plans in the above terms?

Far too long and detailed. Will send examiner to sleep.

Facilities - There is no clear evidence that the community wishes public money to be spent on outdoor fitness facilities for adults - e.g. devices distributed around the current trail. There is considerable support for the construction of a new sports pavilion

Sewage - The system is inadequate at the present and any new housing developments must be accompanie construction of new sewage pumps and pipes (unless septic tanks are employed)